# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Empirical Risk Minimization for Stochastic Convex Optimization:**  $O(1/n)$ - and  $O(1/n^2)$ -type of Risk Bounds

**Lijun Zhang** XHANGLJ**Q**, LAMDA NJ ED CN

*National Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China*

**Tianbao Yang** Tianbao yang menggunakan kerajaan terbesar di terbesar di terbesar di terbesar di terbesar di ter *Department of Computer Science, the University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA*

*Alibaba Group, Seattle, USA*

**Rong Jin** JIN DNG J **Q**ALIBABA INC COM

#### <span id="page-0-1"></span>**Abstract**

<span id="page-0-2"></span>A though there ex st p ent ful theories of eight call risk minimization  $E \cdot M$  for supervised learn ng current theoret ca understandings of  $E^+$ M for a related problement stochastic convex optimization  $\overline{CO}$  are limited. In this work, we strengthen the real of EM for  $\overline{CO}$  by exploiting s oothness and strong convex ty cond t ons to improve the r s bounds. First, we establish an  $\widetilde{\phantom{a}}(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1})$ 

where = :  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a hypothesis class,  $(x, y) = \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an instance abel pair sampled from a distribution  $\mathbb D$  and  $(\_ \ ) : \mathbb R \mathbb R$  R is certain loss. In this paper, we can be focus on the convex version of a namely stochastic convex optimization CO, where both the domain V and the expected funct on  $(\square)$  are convex.

We cass call approaches for solving stochastic optimization are stochastic approximation (SA) Kushner and Y n and the sample average approximation  $AA$ , the latter of which is also referred to as e p r ca r s n zation  $E \cdot M$  in the achine earning community (vapning 99). h e both A and E $\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{M}}} }$  have been extens ve y studied in recent years Bartlett and Mendelson [2002;](#page-12-1) Bart ett et a<br>New rows et a [2009](#page-13-0); [Moulines and Bach](#page-13-1) 2012; [Hazan and Kale](#page-12-3) **20 1 2011 [2012](#page-12-4) [2013](#page-12-5) 2013 2014 20** [Mahdavi et al.](#page-13-3) [2015](#page-13-3)), most theoretical guarantees of E $\bf{M}$  are restricted to supervised learning in As pointed out in a seminal work of  $\theta$  halevel-Newartz et al. 2009[\)](#page-0-2), the success of E $\mathbf{\mathbf{M}}$  for supervised earning cannot be directly extended to stochastic optimization. Actually, Shalev-Shwartz et a [2009](#page-13-4) have constructed an instance of CO that is earnable by A but cannot be solved by EM. Literatures about EM for stochastic optimization including CO are quite limited, and we st ac a full understanding of the theory.

In EM, we are given functions  $\frac{1}{1}$  in sampled independently from P, and a importantly from P, and aim to m ze an e pr ca object ve funct on.

$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}}\widehat{\phantom{\mathbf{w}}\n}\mathbf{w}=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^n\phantom{-}i(\mathbf{w})
$$

Let  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  argmin<sub>w∈W</sub>  $\hat{(\mathbf{w})}$  be an empirical minimizer. The performance of E $\dot{\mathbf{M}}$  is easured in ter  $s$  of the excess  $r s$  defined as

$$
\begin{array}{cc}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & \min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}} & (\mathbf{w})\end{array}
$$

tate of the art r s bounds of E **M** nc ude, an  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  bound when the random function (.) is Lipschitz continuous[,](#page-1-0) where is the dimensionality of w; and  $(1)$  bound when  $(1)$  is strong y convex halev hwartz et a **9**, and an  $($ ) bound when  $($ ) is exponent a y concave exp concave Mehta e  $\oint_{\mathbf{z}} J \mathbf{F}$  roG sex st ng studies of E $\mathbf{M}$  for supervised learning rebro et a sex st ng stud es of  $E \cdot M$  for superv sed earn ng

<span id="page-1-0"></span> $F$ ) s

<span id="page-2-0"></span>able 1:  $\mathbf{u}$  ary of Excess  $\mathbf{\bullet}$  Bounds of E M for CO. All bounds hold with high probability except the one are d by  $*$  which holds in expectation. Abbreviations, bounded  $\phi$ , b, convex  $\begin{pmatrix} c & c & c \end{pmatrix}$  generalized linear  $\begin{pmatrix} c & d \end{pmatrix}$  Lipschitz continuous  $\begin{pmatrix} c & d \end{pmatrix}$  Lip, nonnegative  $\begin{pmatrix} c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in strong y convex sc s ooth s exponent a y concave exp strong y convex  $\sim$  sc, se, sooth  $\sim$  sexponent a y concave

				$\blacktriangleright$ Bounds
hwartz et a ha ev		L p 9		$\tilde{(\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}})}$
		$L p \bigotimes$ sc		$(\frac{1}{\lambda n})^*$
Mehta		$exp(1-p)$		$\sim \left(\frac{d}{nn}\right)$
h s wor	heore	$nn \bigotimes_{s} c \bigotimes_{s} s$	L p	$\sim \left(\frac{d}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{F_*}{n}}\right)$
	heore	$nn \bigotimes_{s} c \bigotimes_{s} s$	$L p \bigotimes$ sc	$\sim \left(\frac{d}{n} + \frac{\kappa F_*}{n}\right)$
				$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda n^2} + \frac{\kappa F_*}{n}\right)$ when $= \widetilde{\Omega}$ ()
	heore	$nn \bigcirc s$	$\mathbf{c}$ sc	$\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{\kappa d}{n} + \frac{\kappa F_*}{n}\right) = \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{\kappa d}{n}\right)$
				$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda n^2} + \frac{\kappa F_*}{n}\right)$ when $= \widetilde{\Omega}(\begin{array}{cc} 2 \end{array})$
	heore	$nn \bigotimes_{\mathbf{S}} s \bigotimes_{\mathbf{S}} g$ $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$	$\mathbf{C}$ sc	$\left(\frac{\kappa}{n}+\frac{\kappa F_*}{n}\right)=-\left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)$
				$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda n^2} + \frac{\kappa F_*}{n}\right)$ when $= \Omega(\alpha^2)$

 $\sim$  hen ( $\rightarrow$  s both convex and s ooth and ( $\rightarrow$  s L psch tz continuous, we establish and  $\tilde{e}$  +  $\sqrt{*}$   $\sqrt{*}$  r s bound c f heore in the optimistic case that  $*$  s s a i.e., ∗ =  $(2 \cdot)$ , we obtain an  $\tilde{e}$  and is bound, which is analogous to the  $\tilde{e}(1)$ opt st c rate of E $\mathbf{\cdot}$ M for supervised earning rebro et al.

- If ( $\downarrow$  s a so strongly convex, we prove an  $(t + \ast)$  r s bound, and improve t to  $(1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} * \end{bmatrix})$  $(1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} * \end{bmatrix})$  when  $= \widetilde{\Omega}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix})$  c f heore hus f s arge and  $*$  is s a e  $* = (1)$  we get an  $(2)$ <sub>r</sub> s bound wh ch to the best of our now edge s the rst  $(1^2)$  type of r s bound of E M.
- hen convex ty s not present n ( $\perp$ ) as ong as ( $\perp$ ) is sooth  $\hat{ }$  ( $\perp$ ) is convex and ( $\perp$ ) is strong y convex we st obtain an improved r s bound of  $(1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots)$  when =  $\tilde{\Omega}(\lambda^2)$  $\tilde{\Omega}(\lambda^2)$  which will further in plyind if  $\lambda^2$  risk bound f  $\lambda^2 = (1)$  c.f. heorem F na y we extend the  $(1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots)$  r s bound to supervised earning with a generazed near for Our analysis shows that in this case, the lower bound of can be replaced w th  $\Omega$ ( $^2$ ) which is dimensionally independent cfheorem 1, hus, this result can be app ed to n n te d ens ona cases e g earning with erne s

### **2. Related Work**

In this section, we give a brief introduction to previous work on E $\mathbf{\mathbf{\cdotM}}$ 

#### **2.1. ERM for Stochastic Optimization**

As we ent oned ear er there are few wor s devoted to E  $\mathbf{\mathbf{\&}}$  for stochastic optimization. Near  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d$  s bounded and  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  s L psch tz continuous,  $\frac{1}{2}$  haleve have the set al. [2009](#page-13-4)) demonstrate that  $\hat{d}(\mathbf{w})$  converges to  $(\mathbf{w})$  uniformly over  $\wedge$  with an  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\mathbf{w}})$  error bound that holds with high probability, p y ng an  $\tilde{a}(\sqrt{n})$  r s bound of E.M. They further establish and  $(1)$ r s bound of E M that holds in expectation when  $($ ) is strongly convex and L pschitz con-t nuous to chast c optimization with exp-concave functions is studied recently [Koren and Levy](#page-12-6) and [Mehta](#page-13-5)  $\bullet$  proves an  $\tilde{e}$   $\bullet$  bound of E $\bullet$ M that holds with high probability when ( $\perp$  s exp concave, L pschitz continuous, and bounded. Lower bounds of EM for stochastic opt zation is not invest gated by Fe d an  $\bullet$  who exhibits a ower bound of  $\Omega(-2)$  sample complexity for uniform convergence that nearly atches the upper bound of  $\Delta$  halev-Shal 9, and (a) ower bound of  $\Omega$ (b) sample complex ty of E $\mathbf{\mathcal{M}}$ , which is atched by our  $\sim$   $($  +  $\sqrt{*}$ ) bound when  $*$  s s a

#### **2.2. ERM for Supervised Learning**

e note that there are extens ve studies on E $\mathbf{\hat{M}}$  for supervised learning, and hence the review here s non-exhaust ve In the context of supervised earning, the performance of E $\mathbf{M}$  is closely re ated to the uniform convergence of  $\hat{ }$   $( )$  to  $( )$  over the hypothesis class Koltchins In fact, uniform convergence is a sufficient condition for earnability (Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David 4, and n so e special cases such as binary classification t s also a necessary condition apn  $99^\circ$  he accuracy of uniform convergence, as we as the quality of the empirical empirical set of the empirical n zer can be upper bounded in terms of the complexity of the hypothesis class including data ndependent easures such as the  $C_d$  ens on and data dependent easures such as the  $\lambda$ dde acher copex ty

Generally speaking, when has  $n \times C d$  ension the excess risk can be upper bounded by  $(\sqrt{VC(-)})$  where  $VC(-)$  is the C d ens on of If the oss  $(-)$  is L pschitz cont nuous with respect to its rst arguent, we have a risk bound of  $(1 - + n)$  where  $n(n+1)$  is the Rademacher complexity of . The Rademacher complexity typically scales as  $n(n) = (1)$  e.g., contains near functions with ownorm, implying an  $(1)$ r s bound Bart ett and Mende son 2002 here have been intensive efforts to derive rates faster than  $(1 \nabla)$  under various conditions Lee et al.  $99^\circ$ , Pancheno, [Bartlett et al.](#page-12-2) Gonen and halev-hwartz  $\cdot$  such as ownoise (Srebro [2016](#page-12-10)), such as over  $\cdot$  2016), such as  $\cdot$  smoothness [\(Srebro et al.](#page-14-2) strong convex ty  $\Gamma$  dharan et al. [2009\)](#page-14-4), to name a few a mongst many pecifically expected view and  $\Gamma$ the random function  $($   $\rightarrow$  smonnegative and smooth in rebro et al. [2010](#page-14-2)) have established a r s bound of  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$  +  $n(\ )$   $\overline{\phantom{m}}$  reducing to an  $(1)$  bound f  $n(\ ) = (1)$  and  $* = (1)$  $* = (1)$  A generalized linear form of consistudied by  $\mathbf{r}$  dharan et al. [2009\)](#page-14-4), and a risk bound of  $(1)$  is proved f the expected function  $(1)$  is strongly convex.

#### **3. Faster Rates of ERM**

e rst ntroduce a the assumptions used in our analysis, then present theoretical results under different combinations of them, and inally discuss a special case of supervised learning.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>her excess r s bound s for a regular zed enpirical risk minimizer.

#### **3.1. Assumptions**

In the following, we use  $k \rightarrow \infty$  to denote the 2-norm of vectors.

**Assumption 1** *The domain*  $\ell$  *is a convex subset of*  $\mathbb{R}^d$ *, and is bounded by , that is,* 

<span id="page-4-6"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n & & \mathbf{w} & \\
 & \mathbf{
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Assumption 2** The random function  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  is nonnegative, and  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  *-smooth over*  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  that is,

$$
\left\| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathbf{w} \right) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathbf{w}' \right) \right\| \qquad \left\| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}' \right\| \nearrow \mathbf{w} \ \mathbf{w}' \qquad \text{if} \quad \mathbb{P}
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>**Assumption 3** The expected function  $(\ )$  is  $\ )$ -Lipschitz continuous over  $\prime$  , that is,

$$
(\mathbf{w}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}') \qquad \qquad \mathbf{w}' \qquad \mathbf{w}' \qquad \qquad \mathbf{w}' \qquad \qquad \bullet
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Assumption 4** *We use different combinations of the following assumptions on convexity.*

- **(a)** *The expected function*  $(\ )$  *is convex over*  $\prime$  *.*
- **(b)** *The expected function*  $(\frac{1}{r})$  *is -strongly convex over*  $\frac{1}{r}$ , *that is,*

$$
(\mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{w} \quad (\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{w}' \quad \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2!} \mathbf{w}' \quad \mathbf{w}^2 \qquad (\mathbf{w}') \quad \mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}' \qquad (w)
$$

- **(c)** *The empirical function*  $\hat{ }$   $(\frac{1}{2})$  *is convex.*
- **(d)** *The random function*  $(\square)$  *is convex.*

<span id="page-4-5"></span>**Assumption 5** *Let*  $w_*$  argmin $w \in W$  (w) *be an optimal solution to [\(1\)](#page-0-1). We assume the gradient of the random function at* w<sup>∗</sup> *is upper bounded by , that is,*

$$
\mathbf{v}^{\blacktriangledown}(\mathbf{w}_{*})\mathbf{v} \qquad \mathbf{v} \qquad \mathbf{v}
$$

**Remark 1** F rst note that **Assumption**  $4(a)$  $4(a)$  s p ed by either **Assumption**  $4(b)$  or **Assumption [4\(](#page-4-1)d)** and **Assumption 4(c)** s in p ed by **Assumption 4(d)** econd, the s oothness assumption t on of  $($ ) in plies the expected function  $($ ) is sooth. By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\left\| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathbf{w} \right) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathbf{w}' \right) \right\| = \mathbf{E}_{f \sim \mathbb{P}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathbf{w} \right) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \mathbf{w}' \right) \right\| = \left\| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}' \right\| \cdot \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}' \quad \text{(1)}
$$

ar y the e p r ca funct on  $\hat{ }(\cdot)$  s a so s ooth. The *condition number* of  $(\cdot)$  s de ned e rat o between and e =  $\geq 1$ as the rat o between and

#### **3.2. Risk Bounds for SCO**

e rst present an excess r s bound under the s oothness cond t on

**Theorem 1** *For any* 0 **1** 2, 0*, define* 

<span id="page-4-7"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
(\qquad) = 2\left(\log^{\frac{2}{n}} + \log^{\frac{6}{n}}\right) \tag{9}
$$

 $\bullet$ 

*Under* **Assumptions [1](#page-4-2)***,* **[2](#page-4-3)***,* **[3](#page-4-4)***,* **[4\(](#page-4-1)d)***, and* **[5](#page-4-5)***, with probability at least* 1 − 2 *, we have*  $(\widehat{\mathbf{w}})$   $(\mathbf{w}_*)$  $\frac{16^{-2}}{16^{-2}}$  ( )  $_{+}$  8  $\frac{\log(2)}{2}$  + 8  $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2}$  ( )  $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2}$  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ XYAYIZAYZKEZINDIYEKXXX LEKZIZYYA ZEVKHAY  $\rm{V}^{\rm{I}}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  .  $\frac{1$  $({\bf w})$   $({\bf w}_*)$  so the set of  ${\bf w}$ 30.6⌈0.5∞0∈5∀∀∞ 0.∞4976](b)0.5∞(05]TJ /RT{ 30.6i})0.496∀∈7(h0.5∞0∈43(√09∞ T{ 30.6b)5∞74∞oJ /R0 ∞96(l4∀∈7(aJ /R0 ∞96(l4{ 30.6i05(a)∞ ∞509∞ ()-0.6759]TJ nT⌈ [()730∈ 435[(0∈∀4q 65 3[(9∞ T{ ∞ 0∞ ∈∞7.9∀ 635.7Tm [(n)-0.6∈∈]TJ /R40 ∞0.9∞57∀.∀96 5{ 5.94∀7∀∞ )-0.579∈∈649]TJ /R40 ∞0.97 ∞ 9743∈∀ ∞.637∀∞ T⌈ 7.) (w∗) /R40793 ∈∀∞0∈  $\bullet$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1 & \mathbf{w}_2 & \mathbf{w}_3 & \mathbf{w}_4 & \mathbf{w}_5 & \mathbf{w}_6 & \mathbf{w}_7 & \mathbf{w}_8 & \mathbf{w}_7 & \mathbf{w}_8 & \mathbf{w}_9 & \$  $\mathcal{S}$   $\mathcal{S}$ [()74-∀ 4∈∀∞059 ∈∀∀ T⌈5 4∈∀∞059 ∞ T{

<span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span> $833$  0f -299. $=$ -299.= )-0.575952266499]TJ /R40 10.9014d [56874328 1.63781 Td [(96872.885(8)0.0510254]TJ6.02Tf 21

q∀.3∈3∞∈ ∀5 ∞∞47.∈9 0∈56∈4.∈ ∞∞47.∈9 ∞ T{

/R40 ∞0.9∞3.∈90.43∈∀∀∈∀637∀∞ T⌈ [(96∀7∈.∀∀.3∈693T4∀ ∞∞47.∈9 0∈743 <span id="page-5-1"></span>/R40 ∞0.9∞ .∞90.6∀3T⌈6∞ 0T⌈

 $...$ 

 $\cdot$   $\cdot$  $^{\circ}$  <span id="page-5-0"></span>/R40 ∞0.9∞3.∈90.43∈∀∀∈∀637∀∞ T⌈

 $\sim$ 

 $V^*$  +  $\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet$ /∀3 T∈7.05∞4∞ mB)-0.9756∈3ma)0∞ mT{093s)04∀7∀(∀∞∀( 0T⌈  $\ldots$ 

 $= A$  Rno $\alpha$ 

 $5.0008$  $3.696$  /R40∀∈0∈35/∀39∞7∈06T⌈  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ [(w)-0.76∞∈4]TJ -∞.5∀∈∈∀3-0.∞53∈∀∞ T⌈ [(w)-0.76∞∈4]TJ  $\ddotsc$ 9.∈4∈∈∈∀3-0.∞53)-0.74∀]TJ <span id="page-5-5"></span>∀.5∈∈∀∞ 0T⌈  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ [(w)-0.76∞∈4]TJ  $\cdot$  $\sim$ 4.73∈∀∀∈∀637∀∞ T⌈ /R54 ∞0.9∞ 3∀9∞74.6∀∈∀637∀∞ 4T⌈∈7∀J <span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>**Remark 3** he rst part of Coro ary [4](#page-5-1) shows that E M en oys an  $\tilde{e}$  ( $\tilde{e}$ +  $\tilde{e}$ ) r s bound for stochast c optimization of strongly convex and so other functions. In the literature, the most comparable result is the  $(1)$  r s bound proved by halev-hwartz et a  $\overline{9}$  but with string d fferences highlighted in  $ab$  e  $ac$  the r s bound of  $ba$  ev hwartz et a  $\overline{a}$  s independent of the d ens ona ty

**Remark [6](#page-6-1)** Co paring the second part of Coro arises  $\cdot$  and [4,](#page-5-1) we can see that the r s bound is on the same order but the lower bound of is increased by a factor of It is interesting to ent on that a similar phenomenon also happens in stochastic approximation. Recently, a variance reduct on technique named  $\overline{S}$  Johnson and Zhang  $\overline{S}$  or EMGD  $\overline{Z}$ hang et al. [2013](#page-12-11)a proposed for stochastic optimization when both full gradients and stochastic gradients are available. In the analysis  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$  assumes the stochastic function is convex, while EMGD does not. From the r theoret ca results, we observe that the individual convex ty eads to a difference of factor in the sa pe copex ty of stochastic gradients.

#### **3.3. Risk Bounds for Supervised Learning**

If the cond t ons of heorem or heorem are satisfied, we can directly use them to establish an  $(1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix} + \ast)$  r s bound for supervised earning. However, a major limit at on of these theore s s that the ower bound of depends on the depends on ty and thus cannot be apped to n n te d ens ona cases e g erne ethods cho opf and o a In this section we exp o t the structure of supervised earning to a e the theory decay on a ty independent.

e focus on the generalized near for of supervised earning.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}} \quad (\mathbf{w}) = \mathrm{E}_{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim\mathbb{D}}\left[ \begin{array}{cc} (\mathbf{w}\ \mathbf{x} \end{array})\right] + (\mathbf{w})
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span> $[8,94 \text{dn }c49]$ <sup>8</sup>4<sub>--</sub> 99 p<sub>z</sub>4 $\bigstar$ <sup>8</sup><sub>S</sub>, 4<sub>S</sub> 4<sub>5</sub> 4<sub>-</sub>e

<span id="page-7-7"></span><span id="page-7-6"></span>**\$£R)Qe&@{4@68277{@c)@bdtb@@@\$Yrubc+7-4 99** (94 dnc49\_ 4\_\_ 99 pz4+7<sup>2</sup>s.4s 4 4\_e 4\_\_

where  $(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x})$  is the loss of predicting  $\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}$  when the true target is and  $(\cdot)$  is a regular zer. Given training examples  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_n, y_n)$  independently sampled from D, the empirical object ve s

<span id="page-7-5"></span>
$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{W}}\widehat{\phantom{w}}(\mathbf{w})=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^n(\mathbf{w}\ \mathbf{x}_i\ \ i)+(\mathbf{w})
$$

e de ne

**20521 RG6.1681 01 RG6.1698 PM And 10.0051** 10.00011 10.00011 10.00011 10.00011 10.00011 10.00011 10.00011 10.00<br>Post and *The domains bt.mg and the domains bt.mg and the domains bt.mg and and and the domains bt.mg and and* 

*/R58(s)-eedcd Hoey*

<span id="page-7-8"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{x},y) \sim \mathbb{D}} [(\mathbf{w} \mathbf{x})]
$$
 and  $\hat{(\mathbf{w})} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{w} \mathbf{x}_i)$ 

to capture the stochast c co ponent.

<span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>Bes des  $4(b)$  $4(b)$  and  $4(c)$  we ntroduce the following add to onal assumptions. We abuse the same notation  $k \rightarrow$  to denote the norm duced by the inner product of a H lbert space.

**Assumption 6** *The domain*  $\ell$  *is a convex subset of a Hilbert space , and is bounded by* is bounded

**Assumption 10** *Let*  $w_*$  argmin<sub>w∈W</sub> (w) *be an optimal solution to* [\(17\)](#page-7-0)*. We assume the gradient of the random function at* w<sup>∗</sup> *is upper bounded by , that is,*

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{y}^{\blacktriangledown} \ (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{y} \
$$

**Remark 7** he above assumptions a low us to lodel any popular losses in achine earning such as regularized square loss and regularized logistic loss. **Assumptions** [7](#page-7-1) and [8](#page-7-2) inply the rando funct on  $(x - x)$  is  $2 \text{ s}$  ooth over  $\theta$  over the state of any w w<sup>'</sup> extending

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\left\|\nabla \left(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \left(\mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right)\right\| = \left\|\nabla \left(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right) \times \nabla \left(\mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right)\right\| \\
\cdot \left\|\nabla \left(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \left(\mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right)\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right\| \\
\cdot \left\|\nabla \left(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \left(\mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right)\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{w}'\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right\| \\
\cdot \left\|\nabla \left(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \left(\mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right)\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right\| \\
\cdot \left\|\nabla \left(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \left(\mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right)\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf{w}' \times \mathbf{x}\right\| & \cdot \left\|\nabla \mathbf
$$

By Jensen's nequality ( $\downarrow$  s also  $\frac{2}{5}$  sooth. Notice that  $\frac{2}{5}$  s the odulus of soothness of ( $\rightarrow$  and is the odulus of strong convex ty of ( $\rightarrow$  th a sight abuse of notation, we define  $=$   $\frac{1}{2}$  and the cond t on nu ber as the ratio between and  $\frac{1}{2}$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$  Finally we  $=$   $\frac{2}{2}$  and the cond t on number as the ratio between and  $\frac{1}{2}$  e.f. Finally, we note that the regularizer ( $\downarrow$  could be *non-smooth*<br>e have the following excess r s bound of E**M** for supervised earning

**Theorem 7** *For any* 0 **1** 2*, define* 

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
=4\left(8+\sqrt{2\log\frac{2\log_2(-)+\log_2(2)}{*}}\right)_{*} = (\mathbf{w}_{*}) = (\mathbf{w}_{*}) (\mathbf{w}_{*})
$$

*Under* **Assumptions [4\(](#page-4-1)b)***,* **[4\(](#page-4-1)c)***,* **[6](#page-7-5)***,* **[7](#page-7-1)***,* **[8](#page-7-2)***,* **[9](#page-7-6)***, and* **[10](#page-7-7)** *with probability at least* 1 − 2 *, we have*

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
(\widehat{\mathbf{w}})
$$
  $(\mathbf{w}_{*})$  max  $\left(\frac{+}{2} + \frac{4}{2} \frac{4}{4} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{4} \frac{2}{4} + \frac{4}{2} \frac{\log(2)}{4} \right)$ 

*Furthermore, if*

<span id="page-8-6"></span>
$$
\frac{16^{-2}}{2} = 16^{-2} \quad \text{°}
$$

*with probability at least* 1 − 2 *, we have*

<span id="page-8-5"></span>
$$
(\widehat{\mathbf{w}})
$$
  $(\mathbf{w}_{*})$  max  $\left(\frac{+}{2} + \frac{8}{24} + \frac{8^{2} \log^{2}(2)}{2} + \frac{16 \times \log(2)}{2}\right)$ 

**Remark 8** he rst part of heore **presents an** (b) r s bound s arto the  $(1)$ r s bound of r dharan et a. [2009\)](#page-14-4). The second part is an  $(1 \tbinom{n}{k}$  $2] +$  \* ) r s bound and n this case, the lower bound of is  $\Omega$ ( $^{2}$ ) which is dimensionally independent. Thus, Theorem can be applied even when the densionality is in the Generally speaking the regularizer  $($ nonnegative and thus <sub>∗</sub> ∗ o, the second bound is even better than those in Theorems and Finally, we note that theorem <sub>[7](#page-8-0)</sub> should be treated as a counterpart of theorem for supervised earning, because both of the do not rely on the individual convex ty e. Assumption [4\(](#page-4-1)**d**) One ay wonder whether  $t \sinh y$  is possible to derive a counterpart of theore [,](#page-5-0) that is, whether  $t \sinh y$ poss be to utilize the individual convex ty to reduce the lower bound of by a factor of ew nvest gate the s quest on as a future work.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>For brev ty we treat C as a constant because t on y has a *double* ogar the c dependence on n

## **4. Analysis**

e here present the ey dea of our analysis and the proof of heorem [.](#page-4-0) The omitted ones can be found n append ces

## **4.1. The Key Idea**

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>By the convex ty of  $\hat{ }$   $( )$  and the optimality condition of  $\hat{w}$ . Boyd and vandenberghe [2004](#page-12-12), we have

<span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>**Lemma 1** *Under* **Assumptions [2](#page-4-3)** *and* **[4\(](#page-4-1)d)***, with probability at least* 1 −

where the ast step s due to

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\dfrac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\mathbf{w}_{*})}{2}} & \dfrac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})_{*} \hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*}}{2} + \dfrac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})_{*}}{2} \\
\hline\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\dfrac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\mathbf{w}_{*})}{2}} & \dfrac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})_{*} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{*}}{2} + \dfrac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})_{*}}{2} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \mathbf{w}_{*2} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

Fro $\overline{4}$  we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} ( (\hat{\mathbf{w}}) (\mathbf{w}_{*}) )
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{2} (\mathbf{w}_{*1} \hat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} + \frac{2}{2} \log(2) \hat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} + \hat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*1} \sqrt{\frac{8 * \log(2)}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
+ 2 \hat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2}}{(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \log(2)} + 4 \sqrt{\frac{2 * \log(2)}{2}} + \left( 4 + \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$

wh ch  $p$  es

#### **5. Conclusions and Future Work**

In this paper, we study the excess risk of EM for CO. Our theoretical results show that it is poss be to achieve  $(1)$  type of risk bounds under the solution bothness and set in a risk cond t ons e heore or the soothness and strong convex ty cond t ons, e the rst part of heore s and A ore exc t ng result is that when is arge enough EM has  $(1, 2)$  $(1, 2)$  $(1, 2)$ type of r s bounds under the s oothness strong convex ty and s a n a r s cond t ons e the second part of heorems and  $\blacksquare$ 

In the context of CO, there remain any open problems about E $\mathbf{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Our current results are restricted to the H bert or Euclidean space, because the superbaness and strong convex ty are deed nearly of the  $_2$  normal extend our analysis to other geo etr es n the future.

As ent oned n **Remark 3** under the strong convex ty cond t on a dens onally-independent r s bound, e.g., As d scussed n **Remark 8** t s unclear whether the convex ty of the loss can be exploited to prove the ower bound of in the second part of heore  $\bullet$  Ideally, we expect that  $= \Omega(\ )$  s sufficient to deliver and  $(1 \ [ \ 2] + \ \ast)$  r s bound.

4 he  $(1 - 2)$  type of r s bounds require both the s oothness and strong convex ty cond t ons. One may need gate whether strong convex ty can be relaxed to other weaker conditions such as exponent a concavity Hazan et a

Finally as far as we now there are no  $(1<sup>2</sup>)$  type of r s bounds for stochastic approximation A e w try to estab sh such bounds for A.

### **Acknowledgments**

h s work was part a y supported by the N FC (6160317), J angsu F BK (61608), N F II  $4.9^\circ$  B II  $\phantom{1}4.99$  and the Co aborative Innovation Center of Novel of tware echnology and Industrialization of Nanjing niversity.

<span id="page-12-14"></span><span id="page-12-13"></span><span id="page-12-12"></span><span id="page-12-11"></span><span id="page-12-10"></span><span id="page-12-9"></span><span id="page-12-8"></span><span id="page-12-7"></span><span id="page-12-6"></span><span id="page-12-5"></span><span id="page-12-4"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span><span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span> $(1)$  AND  $(1)$ 

- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Mehrdad Mahdavi, L<sub>i</sub> un Zhang, and  $\bullet$ ng J<sub>n</sub>. Lower and upper bounds on the generalization of stochastic exponent a y concave optimation. In *Proceedings of the 28th Conference on Learning Theory*
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Co n<sub>a</sub>McD<sub>a</sub> are all On the ethod of bounded differences. In *Surveys in Combinatorics* pages  $4^{\circ}$   $9^{\circ}$
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>N shant A. Mehta. Fast rates with high probability in exp concave statistical earning. *ArXiv eprints*, arX<sub>v</sub>:
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>**K**<sub>n</sub> Me r and ong Zhang. Generalization error bounds for bayes an exture a gorithms. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 4, <sup>2</sup>9<sub>0</sub>, 2003.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Er c Mou nes and Francis  $\blacktriangleright$  Bach. Non-asymptotic analysis of stochastic approximation algor th s for ach ne earning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 24* pages 451–459, 2011.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>A. Newirovs A. Juditsky, G. Lan, and A. Shapiro. **Robust stochastic approximation approach to** stochast c programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 94, 19
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Yur Nesterov. *Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course*, volume  $\frac{8}{5}$  of *Applied optimization* K uwer Acade c Pub shers
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>D try Panchen o o e extens ons of an nequality of vapnia and chervonen s *Electronic Communications in Probability*
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>G es P s er The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry Ca br dge racts n Mathe at cs No. 94. Ca. br dge n vers ty Press,  $9^9$
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Yan v P an and  $\bullet$  an ershyn n One b t co pressed sensing by near progra ing *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>A exander  $\overline{A}$  h n Ohad ha r and Karthir dharan. Mang gradient descent optimal for strong y convex stochast c optimization. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Machine Learning* pages 449 4
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Bernhard cho opf and A exander J. o a *Learning with kernels: support vector machines, regularization, optimization, and beyond* MI Press
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>ha ha ev hwartz and ha Ben David Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to *Algorithms* Ca br dge n vers ty Press 4
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>ha ha ev hwartz Ohad ha r Nathan rebro and Karthic r dharan to chastic convex option zat on In Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference on Learning Theory
- A exander hap ro Dar n a Dentcheva and Andrze *diszczyns Lectures on Stochastic Programming: Modeling and Theory* IAM second ed t on
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>teve a e and D ng-Xuan Zhou. Learning theory est ates v a integral operators and their approx at ons *Constructive Approximation*
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Nathan rebro Karth r dharan and A bu ewar Opt st c rates for earning with a sooth oss. *ArXiv e-prints*, arX<sub>y</sub>. 9<sup>9</sup>.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Karth r dharan ha ha ev shwartz and Nathan rebro. Fast rates for regular zed objectives. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 21* pages 4<sup>9</sup>
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>A exandre B syba ov Opt a aggregation of classifiers in statistical earning. The Annals of *Statistics*, 32:135–166, 32:135–166, 2005.
	- ad r apn *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory* pringer second ed t on
	- ad r N apn Statistical Learning Theory ey Intersc ence 99<sup>8</sup>
- <span id="page-14-5"></span><span id="page-14-0"></span>L in Zhang, Mehrdad Mahdavi, and  $\bullet$ ng Jin. Linear convergence with condition number independent access of fu grad ents In *Advance in Neural Information Processing Systems 26* pages  $9^{8}9^{8}$  a
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Let un Zhang anbao Yang,  $\bullet$ ng J<sub>n</sub> and X aofe He. (log.) projections for stochastic optimization of smooth and strongly convex functions. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning* b.

#### **Appendix A. Proof of Lemma [1](#page-9-0)**

e ntroduce Lemma 3 of a e and Zhou

**Lemma 3** *Let be a Hilbert space and let be a random variable with values in . Assume* **a** almost surely. Denote  $e^{2}(\cdot) = E\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let i  $\frac{m}{i-1}$  be  $(\bullet \bullet)$  independent *drawers of*  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ *. For any* 0  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  1, with confidence 1<sup>1</sup>

<span id="page-14-6"></span>
$$
\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} i & \mathbf{E}[i] \end{bmatrix} \right\| \quad \frac{2 - \log(2)}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{2^{-2} \cdot \log(2)}{2}}
$$

e rst consider a xed w  $($   $)$  nce  $_i($  s sooth we have

<span id="page-14-7"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} & i(\mathbf{w}) & \mathbf{v} & i(\mathbf{w}_*) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{v} \qquad \mathbf{w}_*
$$

Because  $i(1)$  is both convex and  $-$ s ooth by  $\bullet$  of [Nesterov](#page-13-10) 4 we have

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W} & i(\mathbf{w}) & \mathbf{W} & i(\mathbf{w}_*) \end{pmatrix}^2 \qquad (i(\mathbf{w}) \qquad i(\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \mathbf{W}_* \mathbf{W}_* \mathbf{w}_* )
$$

a ng expectation over both sides, we have

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{W} \quad i(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{W} \quad i(\mathbf{w}_{*})\right)^{2}\right] \qquad (\text{ } (\mathbf{w}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \mathbf{W} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}_{*} \text{ } ) \qquad (\text{ } (\mathbf{w}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) )
$$

where the ast nequal ty follows from the optimality condition of  $w_*$  e.

$$
\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{W} & (\mathbf{w}_*) \mathbf{w} & \mathbf{w}_* & \mathbf{W} \end{array}
$$

Fo owing Lemma [,](#page-14-6) with probability at east 1  $\mu$  we have

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\n\blacktriangledown & (\mathbf{w}) & \blacktriangledown & (\mathbf{w}_{*}) & \blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}) & \blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{*}) \end{vmatrix}\n\begin{vmatrix}\n\blacktriangledown & (\mathbf{w}) & \blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{*}) & \blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{*}) \\
\blacktriangledown & (\mathbf{w}) & \blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{*}) & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}) & \blacktriangledown^{n}(\mathbf{w}_{*})] \end{vmatrix}\n\begin{vmatrix}\n2 & (\mathbf{w}) & (\mathbf{w}_{*}) & \log(2) \\
\end{vmatrix}
$$

e obtain Lemma by taking the union bound over all w  $($   $)$  o this end, we need an oper bound of the cover ng nu ber.  $($   $)$ upper bound of the covering number.  $\sqrt{N}$ 

Let B be an unit ball of dension and  $(B)$  be ts represent with minimal cardinality. According to a standard volume comparison argument  $\overline{P}$  signally left vectors by we have

$$
\log \quad (\mathcal{B} \quad ) \qquad \log \frac{3}{4}
$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\ )$  be a ball centered at origin with radius . Since we assume  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(\ )$  to low that

$$
\log \quad (\quad \ ) \quad \log \Big| \quad \Big( {\cal B}(\quad )\,\, \frac{}{2} \Big) \Big| \quad \quad \log \frac{6}{1}
$$

where the rst nequality is because the covering numbers are almost increasing by inclusion  $P$  and and ershyn n

#### **Appendix B. Proof of Lemma [2](#page-10-1)**

o apply Lemma [,](#page-14-6) we need an upper bound of  $E[\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}_i(\mathbf{w}_*)]$  are  $i(A)$  is sooth and nonnegative from Lemma 4.1 of  $rebro et a$  we have

$$
\left| \mathbf{W} \right| i(\mathbf{w}_*) \right|^{2} \quad 4 \quad i(\mathbf{w}_*)
$$

and thus

$$
E\left[\mathbf{w}_{i}(\mathbf{w}_{*})\right]^{2} = 4 E\left[i(\mathbf{w}_{*})\right] = 4 * \mathbf{E}[S_{i}(\mathbf{w}_{*})] = 4
$$

From **Assumption [5](#page-4-5)**[,](#page-14-6) we have  $\overrightarrow{W}_i(\mathbf{w}_*)$  hen, according to Lemma , with probability at east 1 we have

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \widehat{\quad} (\mathbf{w}_*)\right\| = \left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\nabla} \quad i(\mathbf{w}_*)\right\| \quad \frac{2 \quad \log(2^-)}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{8 - \log(2^-)}{2}}
$$

#### **Appendix C. Proof of Theorem [3](#page-5-0)**

The proof follows the same logic as that of theorem **1** der **Assumption [4\(](#page-4-1)b)** becomes

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\widehat{\mathbf{w}} & (\mathbf{w}_*) + \frac{1}{2!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \\
\frac{\left\|\mathbf{w} \cdot (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_*) - \mathbf{w} \cdot (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_*)\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{w} \cdot (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_*)\right\|} + \frac{\left\|\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_*) - \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_*)\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_*)\right\|}\n\end{pmatrix} + \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{w}_*
$$

ubst tuting and the  $\frac{8}{3}$  with probability at east 1 − 2 , we have

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{(}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & (\mathbf{w}_*) + \frac{1}{2!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \\ \n& \frac{(\phantom{-})\mathbf{w}_*^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \mathbf{w}_*^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \mathbf{w}_*^2 \sqrt{\frac{(\phantom{-})\mathbf{w}_*^2}{\sqrt{(\phantom{-})^2}} \mathbf{w}_*^2} \\ \n& \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \frac{\log(2\phantom{-})\mathbf{w}_*^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \mathbf{w}_*^2 \sqrt{\frac{8}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \mathbf{w}_*^2} \\ \n& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \frac{\mathbf{w}_*^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \frac{\mathbf{w}_*^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \frac{\mathbf{w}_*^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_*^2}} \n\end{array}
$$

(39)

o prove [\(36\)](#page-11-0) we substitute  $\bullet$  and

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}_{*} \sqrt{\frac{8 - \log(2)}{2}} = \frac{4 - \log(2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*}}^2
$$

nto  $9$  and then obta n

$$
\frac{1}{2} ( (\hat{\mathbf{w}}) (\mathbf{w}_{*}) )
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{w}}) (\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{2}{2} \log(2) (\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{4}{2} \log(2) \left( \hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*} \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ 2 \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{4}{2} + \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) (\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*})}{2} + \frac{4}{2} \log(2) + \frac{4}{2} \log(2) \right]
$$

which  $p$  es

o prove we subst tute

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})}{2} & \frac{2(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})(\hat{\mathbf{w}})}{2} + \frac{16}{8} & \hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} \\
 & & & & & \\
\hline\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\frac{8 * \log(2)}{2}} & \frac{64 * \log(2)}{2} + \frac{16}{16} & \hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} \\
 & & & & & \\
\hline\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\frac{8 * \log(2)}{2}} & \frac{64 * \log(2)}{2} + \frac{1}{32} & \hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} \\
 & & & & & \\
\hline\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})^{2}}{2}} & \frac{32(\hat{\mathbf{w}})^{2}}{2} + \frac{64}{128} & \hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2} \\
 & & & & & \\
\hline\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}})^{2}}{2}} & \frac{32}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{2} \\
\hline\n\hat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1} & \frac{32}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{2} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

nto  $9$  and then obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{(}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & (\mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{1}{4} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*} \right|^{2} \\
& (\text{ } \widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \mathbf{w}_{*} + \frac{2}{4} \left( \text{ } \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \right) \left( \text{ } (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) - (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \right) + \frac{16 - 2 \log^{2}(2)}{2} + \frac{64 - \log(2)}{2} \\
& + \frac{64 - 2}{2} + \frac{32}{4} \left( \text{ } \widehat{\mathbf{w}}) - \frac{32 - 2}{2} \left( \text{ } \right)^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{4} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{ } (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) - (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \right) + \frac{16 - 2 \log^{2}(2)}{2} + \frac{64 - \log(2)}{2} \\
& + \frac{64 - 2}{2} + 8 + 2 - 2\n\end{array}
$$

wh ch  $p$  es

#### **Appendix D. Proof of Theorem [5](#page-6-0)**

thout **Assumption [4\(](#page-4-1)d)** Lemma which is used in the proofs of theorems and does not hold any ore Instead, we w use the following version that only relies on the subsolution to include the smoothness condition.

**Lemma 4** *Under* **Assumption [2](#page-4-3)***, with probability at least* 1 *− , for any* **w**  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ *, we have* 

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\mathbf{w}\right) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\mathbf{w}_*\right) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\mathbf{w}\right) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\mathbf{w}_*\right) \right\|\right\| \quad \frac{(1+1)\mathbf{w}_*\mathbf{w}_*}{\mathbf{w}_*} + \mathbf{w}_*\mathbf{w}_*\sqrt{\frac{(1+1)\mathbf{w}_*\mathbf{w}_*}{\mathbf{w}_*} + \mathbf{w}_*\mathbf{w}_*}
$$

*where*  $($   $)$  *is define in* [\(9\)](#page-4-7)*.* 

The above e as a direct consequence of  $\Gamma$ . Lemma and the union bound.

The rest of the proof s s are to those of Theorems and [.](#page-5-0) We first derive a counterpart of under Lemma [4.](#page-17-0) Combining the vertice is  $34$  with probability at east 1 = , we have

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\left\| \mathbf{\nabla} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} (\mathbf{w}_*) \left[ \mathbf{\nabla} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} (\mathbf{w}_*) \right] \right\|
$$
\n
$$
\frac{(\lambda_1 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_*)}{\lambda_1 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_2} + \lambda_3 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\lambda_4 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_5 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\lambda_5 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_6 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\lambda_6 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_7 \lambda_7 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\lambda_7 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_7 \lambda_8 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\lambda_7 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_7 \lambda_8 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\lambda_7 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_* + \lambda_7 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \sqrt{\lambda_7 \widehat{\
$$

<span id="page-17-2"></span>ubst tuting 4 and [\(33\)](#page-10-3) into  $\frac{8}{3}$  with probability at east 1 = 2 , we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{(}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & (\mathbf{w}_*) + \frac{1}{2!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \text{ }\mathbf{w}_* \\
 & \frac{(\phantom{-})_1 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \phantom{-}^2}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2!} \right)^2} + \frac{1}{2!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(\phantom{-})_1}{(\phantom{-})}} \\
 & + \frac{2 \phantom{-} \log(2 \phantom{-})_1 \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \text{ }\mathbf{w}_* \text{ }\mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{8 \phantom{-} * \log(2 \phantom{-})}_1 \\
 & + 2 \phantom{-} \sqrt{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}} & \mathbf{w}_* \text{ }\mathbf{w}_* \sqrt{\frac{(\phantom{-})_1}{(\phantom{-})_1} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_1 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_1} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_2 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_1} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_3 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_2} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_4 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_2} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_5 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_3} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_6 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_4} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_7 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_5} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_8 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_6} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_8 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_7} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_8 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_8} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_9 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_8} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_9 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_8} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_9 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_9} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_9 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\phantom{-})_9} + \frac{(\phantom{-})_9 \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{(\
$$

<span id="page-17-0"></span> $\overline{4}$ 

 $\theta$  get  $\theta$  we subst tute  $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{-2} \sqrt{\frac{(-)}{2} + \frac{2}{2} \left(-\right)} \frac{2}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{-2}}$ 

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\mathbf{w}}}{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w}_{*1}^2 \sqrt{\frac{(-)}{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{w}_{*1}^2 + \frac{(-)}{4}} \frac{\mathbf{w}_{*1}^2}{\mathbf{w}_{*1}^2 + \frac{(-)}{4}}}
$$

nto  $\overline{4}$  and then obtain

$$
\frac{(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*})}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{2 \log(2)}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}} + \frac{8}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \log(2)} + \frac{4}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \log(2)} + \frac{1}{\hat{\
$$

wh ch proves  $\triangleleft$ 

 $\int$  o get  $\int$  we subst tute

$$
\frac{2 \log(2) \cdot \hat{w} \cdot w_{*}}{\hat{w} \cdot w_{*1} \sqrt{\frac{8 * \log(2)}{2}}} = \frac{8^{-2} \log^{2}(2)}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \cdot \hat{w} \cdot w_{*1}^{2}
$$
  

$$
\frac{2 \cdot \hat{w}}{\hat{w} \cdot w_{*1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{16}{2}} \cdot \frac{32 - 2}{16}} + \frac{32 - 2}{32} \cdot \hat{w} \cdot w_{*1}^{2}}{\frac{16 - 2}{2} \cdot \frac{16 - 2}{2} \cdot \frac{16}{64} \cdot \hat{w} \cdot w_{*1}^{2}}
$$

nto  $\overline{4}$  and then obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{(}\hat{\mathbf{w}}) & (\mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{1}{4} \text{ }\hat{\mathbf{w}} \text{ } \mathbf{w}_{*} \\
 & \frac{1}{25} \text{ }\hat{\mathbf{w}} \text{ } \mathbf{w}_{*} \\
 &
$$

By subtracting  $\hat{w} = w_{*k}^2 + 4$  from both sides we complete the proof of  $\hat{v}$ .

## **Appendix E. Proof of Theorem [7](#page-8-0)**

e consider two cases. In the rst case, we assume that

$$
\begin{matrix} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}
$$

<span id="page-19-1"></span> $\int$  is sooth and ( $\Rightarrow$  s L pschitz continuous, we have

$$
(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) = (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) + (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*})
$$
  

$$
(\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{*} (\mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})^{2} + (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})
$$
  

$$
(\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*}) \mathbf{w}_{*} (\mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})^{2} + (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})^{2} + (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}_{*})^{2} + (\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{
$$

 $\overline{4}$ 

where the ast step ut zes Jensen's nequality

$$
\mathbf{v}^{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_{*}) = \|\mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \sim \mathbb{D}}[\nabla (\mathbf{w}_{*} \mathbf{x})\n] \| \mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \sim \mathbb{D}}[\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{w}_{*} \mathbf{x})\n]
$$

Next, we study the case

$$
\frac{1}{2} \quad \sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \quad \mathbf{w}_{*} \quad \frac{\mathbf{8}}{2}
$$

Fro $\frac{9}{2}$  we have

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\left(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}\right) & \left(\mathbf{w}_{*}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{w}_{*}\right]^{2} \\
\left(\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{w}_{*} + \left[\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*})\right] \\
& = \left[\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*})\right] \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{w}_{*} + \left[\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w}_{*})\right] \\
& = \frac{\sum_{\substack{\text{with } \mathbf{w}_{*} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{with } \mathbf{w}_{*} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{with } \mathbf{w}_{*} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{with } \mathbf{w}_{*} \in \mathbb{N} \end{array}
$$

e rst bound 1. Out ze the fact the random variable  $\hat{w}$  w<sub>\*</sub> es in the range (1<sup>2</sup> 2 ] we deve op the following equipment

**Lemma 5** *Under* **Assumptions [7](#page-7-1)** *and* **[8](#page-7-2)***, with probability at least* 1 − *, for all*

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{2} \qquad \quad 2
$$

*the following bound holds:*

$$
\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\|\leq \gamma} \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \mathbf{\rho}(\mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*) \|\mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}_*\right\rangle \quad \stackrel{4}{\longrightarrow} \frac{2}{\longrightarrow} \left(8 + \sqrt{2\log n}\right)
$$
\nwhere

\n
$$
= \mathbf{v}_2 \log_2(1) + \log_2(2) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{w}_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{w}_2 \quad \text{
$$

Based on the above e a we have with probability at east 1

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
1 \quad \frac{4 \quad \hat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}^2}{\qquad \qquad 4 \quad \left(8 + \sqrt{2 \log 2}\right)} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}^2}{\qquad \qquad 44}
$$

where  $\theta$  is defined in

e then proceed to hand e  $_2$  which can be upper bounded in the same way as  $A_2$ . In particular, we have the following  $e$  a

**Lemma 6** *Under* **Assumptions [7](#page-7-1)***,* **[8](#page-7-2)***, and* **[10](#page-7-7)***, with probability at least* 1 − *, we have*

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
\left\| \blacktriangledown \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \blacktriangledown \, \widehat{\quad} \, (\mathbf{w}_*) \right\| \quad \frac{2 \quad \log(2\quad)}{} + \sqrt{\frac{8 \quad \ast \log(2 \quad)}{} } \qquad \qquad \blacktriangleleft
$$

ubst tuting  $\frac{44}{4}$  and  $\frac{4}{5}$  into  $\frac{4}{5}$  with probability at east 1  $-2$  we have

$$
\frac{(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \qquad (\mathbf{w}_{*}) + \frac{1}{2!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}}{\mathbf{w}_{*} \qquad \qquad + \frac{2}{2} \cdot \frac{\log(2)}{\log(2)} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*}} + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{*} \qquad \sqrt{\frac{8 \cdot \log(2)}{2}} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{A}_{\bullet}
$$

<span id="page-20-2"></span>e subst tute

$$
\frac{\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^2}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^2} = \frac{2 \hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^2}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} + 4 \hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^2}
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^2
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^2
$$

nto  $\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}$  and then have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\textbf{(}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & & (\mathbf{w}_*) & \frac{2-2}{3}\widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1}^2 + \frac{2- \log(2-\varepsilon)}{3} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_{*1}^2 + \frac{8 - \varepsilon \log(2-\varepsilon)}{3} \\
& & \frac{4-2-2-2}{3} + \frac{4-\log(2-\varepsilon)}{3} + \frac{8-\varepsilon \log(2-\varepsilon)}{3}\n\end{array}
$$

Co b n ng the above nequal ty w th  $\sim$  we obtain o prove  $\overline{z}$  we substitute

$$
\frac{2 \log(2) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{*}}{\hat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{*1} \sqrt{\frac{8 \cdot \log(2)}{2}} + \frac{8^{-2} \log^{2}(2)}{2} + \frac{8}{8} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{*1}^{2}}
$$

nto  $\mathbf{A}_\bullet$  and then have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{(}\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) & (\mathbf{w}_*) + \frac{1}{4!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \\ \n& \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}_*}{2} + \frac{8^{-2} \log^2(2)}{2} + \frac{16 - \log(2)}{2} \\ \n& \frac{1}{4!} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{w}_* \binom{2}{1} + \frac{8^{-2} \log^2(2)}{2} + \frac{16 - \log(2)}{2} \\
\end{array}
$$

Co b n ng the above nequality with 4 we obtain

### **Appendix F. Proof of Lemma [5](#page-19-2)**

F rst we part t on the range  $(1^2 \ 2 \ 1)$  nto  $= \blacktriangledown 2 \log_2( ) + \log_2(2)$  consecutive segments  $\Delta_1$   $\Delta_2$   $\Delta_s$  such that  $\overline{ }$ 

$$
\Delta_k = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{k-1} & 2^k \\ \frac{2^k-1}{2} & \frac{2^k}{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{2^k-1}{2^k-1} & \cdots & \frac{2^k-1}{2^k-1} \end{pmatrix} = 1
$$

hen, we consider the case  $\Delta_k$  for a fixed value of . We have

$$
\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\|\leq \gamma}{\left\langle \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \quad [\mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \right] \mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle}
$$
\n
$$
\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\|\leq \gamma_k^+} \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \quad [\mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \right] \mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle
$$

<span id="page-21-0"></span>Based on the McD armid [1989](#page-13-13) and the Rademacher complex ty Bartlett and Mende son  $\qquad$  we have the following equal to upper bound the last term.

<span id="page-21-1"></span>**Lemma 7** *Under* **Assumptions [7](#page-7-1)** *and* **[8](#page-7-2)***, with probability at least* 1 − *, we have*

$$
\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\|\leq \gamma_k^+} \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*) \quad [\mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*)] \quad \mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle
$$

nce  $\Delta_k$  we have

<span id="page-21-3"></span><span id="page-21-2"></span>
$$
k_k^+ = 2 \begin{array}{cc} -2 & 2 \end{array} \tag{49}
$$

hus, with probability at east 1  $\cdots$  we have

$$
\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\|\leq \gamma} \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*) \quad [\mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*)] \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle
$$

e co p ete the proof by ta ng the union bound over segents.

#### **Appendix G. Proof of Lemma [7](#page-21-3)**

 $\cos$  p fy the notation, we define

$$
i(\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{w} \mathbf{x}_i \quad i) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & n \end{array}) = \sup_{\mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \le \gamma_k^+} \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_i) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}(\mathbf{w}_*)] \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle
$$

o upper bound ( $\overline{h}$ ), we utilize the McD arc d s nequality McD arc d  $9\overline{9}$ 

**Theorem 8** *Let*  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  *n be independent random variables taking values in a set* A, and assume  $that$  :  $A^n$ <sub> $\uparrow$ </sub> R satisfies

$$
\sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_i'\in A}\left|\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & & n\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & & i-1 & i & i+1 & n\end{array}\right)\right| i
$$

*for every* 1 *. Then, for every* 0*,* 

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \end{pmatrix} E[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \end{pmatrix}] \longrightarrow \exp\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{i}} \end{pmatrix}
$$

As pointed out in **Remark [7](#page-7-1)** Assumptions 7 and [8](#page-7-2) in p y the random function  $i(1)$  is s ooth and thus

$$
\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot
$$

As a result, when a random function high changes, the random variable  $(n_1, ..., n)$  can change by no ore than 2  $\left(\begin{array}{c} + \\ k \end{array}\right)^2$  o see the s we have

(h1, . . . , hn) − (h1, . . . , hi−1, h ′ i , hi+1, . . . , hn) 1 sup w:kw−w∗k≤γ + k ∇h ′ i (w) − ∇<sup>h</sup> ′ i (w∗) <sup>−</sup> [∇hi(w) − ∇hi(w∗)] <sup>w</sup> <sup>−</sup> <sup>w</sup><sup>∗</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup> k 2

McD armid sinequality in plies that with probability at east 1

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \end{pmatrix} \quad E\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ k \end{pmatrix}^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \log \frac{1}{n}
$$

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  be an independent copy of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$  an cher variables with equal probability of being  $1$  is ngitechniques of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  Bart ett and Mende son [2002](#page-12-1)), we bound E  $[$   $($   $]_1$   $)$  as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{E}_{h_1,\dots,h_n} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \quad (\mathbf{w}_*) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{\nabla}_i(\mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{\nabla}_i(\mathbf{w}_*)] \mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle \right]\n\\
= \frac{1}{\gamma_k^+} \mathbf{E}_{h_1,\dots,h_n} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_n} \mathbf{w}_1(\mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_2(\mathbf{w}_2) \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_3(\mathbf{w}_3) \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_4(\mathbf{w}_4) \right]\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbb{E}_{h'_1,\ldots,h'_n} \left[ \mathbf{w}_1 \|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\| \leq & \gamma_k^+ \\
\mathbb{E}_{h'_1,\ldots,h'_n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \mathbf{\nabla}_{i} \left( \mathbf{w} \right) \ \mathbf{\nabla}_{i} \left( \mathbf{w}_* \right) \ \mathbf{w} \ \mathbf{w}_* \right\rangle \right] & \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{\nabla}_{i} \left( \mathbf{w} \right) \ \mathbf{\nabla}_{i} \left( \mathbf{w}_* \right) \ \mathbf{w} \ \mathbf{w}_* \right]\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{h_1,\ldots,h_n,h'_1,\ldots,h'_n} \left[ \text{sup}_{\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_n} \left[ \mathbf{\nabla}_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \left[ \mathbf{\nabla}_{h_1
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{y} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{y} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w} \rangle \mathbf{w}
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

Note that <sup>2</sup> s 2 L psch tz over [ $\Box$  and  $i(\mathbf{w}) + i(\mathbf{w})$  [2  $\frac{1}{k}$  $\frac{+}{k}$   $\frac{-}{2}$   $\frac{+}{k}$  $\frac{+}{k}$  hen from the comparison theorem of Rademacher complex the Ledoux and Talagrand [1991](#page-12-14), in particu ar Lemma  $\sigma$  of Mer and Zhang [2003](#page-13-14), we have

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned}\n& \mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i \left( i(\mathbf{w}) + i(\mathbf{w}) \right)^2 \right] \\
& 4 \underset{k}{+} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i \left( i(\mathbf{w}) + i(\mathbf{w}) \right) \right] \\
& 4 \underset{k}{+} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i i(\mathbf{w}) \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i i(\mathbf{w}) \right] \n\end{aligned}
$$

ar y we have

<span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned}\n& \mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i \left( i(\mathbf{w}) - i(\mathbf{w}) \right)^2 \right] \\
& 4 + \sqrt{\kappa} \left( \mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i i(\mathbf{w}) \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w} : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_*\| \leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i i(\mathbf{w}) \right] \right)\n\end{aligned}
$$

Co  $\mathfrak b$  n ng and  $\mathfrak A$  we arrive at

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_{*}\|\leq\gamma_{k}^{+}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} i_{i} \cdot \mathbf{v} i(\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v} i(\mathbf{w}_{*}) \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{*}\right]
$$
  

$$
2 \frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\sum_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_{*}\|\leq\gamma_{k}^{+}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i_{i}(\mathbf{w})} + \mathrm{E}\left[\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_{*}\|\leq\gamma_{k}^{+}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} i_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right]}_{::=C_{1}}
$$

e proceed to upper bound  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$ . From our definition of  $\begin{pmatrix} i(w) \\ i(w) \end{pmatrix}$  we have

$$
\begin{vmatrix} i(\mathbf{w}) & i(\mathbf{w}') \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \begin{vmatrix} i'(\mathbf{w} \mathbf{x}_i & i) & i'(\mathbf{w}' \mathbf{x}_i & i) \end{vmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\left| \mathbf{w} \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{x}_i \right|} = \sqrt{\left| \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{w}_i \right|}
$$

Applying the comparison theorem of  $\bullet$  and  $\bullet$  acher complex the sagain, we have

$$
1 \quad \sqrt{\phantom{a}}\,\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{\mathbf{w}:\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}_*\|\leq \gamma_k^+} \sum_{i=1}^n i_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_*\right] = 2
$$

<span id="page-25-0"></span>ZHANG YANG